

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/596,784	BOGDANOVE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Anand U Desai, Ph.D.	1653	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** In condition for Allowance.

 (1) Anand U Desai, Ph.D.

 (3) Edwin V. Merkel.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2004
**Time:** 1:48 p.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Provisional Double patenting of claims 17, 19, 38, and 39*

Claims discussed:

*17, 19, 38, and 39*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D.  
 PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the provisional double patenting rejection. Applicants cited Manual of Patent Examining Procedure Section 804 at pages 800-819 (August 2001) to overcome the provisional double patenting rejection. Claim 39 objection was removed. Application is in condition for allowance..